

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/787,256  | 05/16/2001           | Jerome Francis Hayes | P32147                  | 7589             |
| 20462   | 7590 03/08/2004      |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220 |                      |                      | DENTZ, BERNARD I        |                  |
|   | D. BOX 1539          |                      | - ART UNIT_             | PAPER NUMBER     |
| KING OF P   | RUSSIA, PA 19406-093 | 39                   | 1625                    | •                |
|   |                      |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 09/787,256  | HAYES ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bernard Dentz   | 1625   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE                         | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2.2 € 2003.  a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-24 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3.5-17 and 19-24 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | vn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction   | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa  |   | • •  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of  | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No<br>d in this National Stage  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 16.   | 4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:   |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/787,256

Art Unit: 1625

Page 2

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 18 is provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 3 of copending Application No. 10/223850. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented. Claim 3 of the allowed application is drawn to the sesquihydrate of 7-(3-aminomethyl-4-syn-methoxyiminopyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydro-1,8-naphthyridine-3-carboxylic acid methane sulfonate. Instant claim 18 recites this compound made by the process of the instant allowable process. (See claims 1-3, 5-17 and 19-24.) Said process of course is different from the one disclosed by said allowed application. However the compounds are the same and since product-by-process claims are construed as being drawn to the product, claim 18 is anticipated.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bernard Dentz at telephone number 703 308-4544.

B. Dentz

3-4-2004

Blink)